Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1950-51

Sixty-four communities have been added to the Public Health Service "honor roll" of safe milk communities, and 24 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from January 1, 1950, to December 31, 1951, and includes a total of 251 cities and counties.

Communities on the "honor roll" have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation required by the Milk Ordinance and Code Recommended by the United States Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned must report this compliance to the Public Health Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list. is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk is pasteurized and for those in which both raw and pasteurized milk is sold.

The Public Health Service Milk

This compilation is from the Division of Sanitation of the Bureau of State Services, Public Health Service. The previous listing, with a summary of rules under which a community is included, were published in Public Health Reports, August 24, 1951, pp. 1086–1090. The rating method was described in Public Health Reports 53: 1386 (1938). Reprint No. 1970.

Ordinance, which forms the basis for the milk ratings, is now in effect through voluntary adoption in 387 counties and 1,535 municipalities. These represent increases of 18 and 43, respectively, in the past 6 months. The ordinance has been adopted as regulation by 34 States and 2 Territories. In 11 States and the 2 Territories it is in effect State-wide.

Although the ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms to the standards for grade A milk as stated

in the Public Health Service Milk Ordinance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk in a community.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage communities operating under the Public Health Service Ordinance to attain and maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they were over 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion.

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1950-51

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating		
ALABAMA:		GEORGIA—Continued			
Auburn	Sept. 19, 1951	Cairo	May 31, 1951		
Montgomery	May 11, 1950	Calhoun	Feb. 15, 1951		
Opelika	June 15, 1950	Columbus	Mar. 30, 1951		
AIUXANDAD:		La Grange	Tune 25 1051		
Fort Smith	Oct. 19 1951	Quitman	May 20, 1951		
COLORADO:		Waycross	Oct 92 1051		
Colorado Springs	June 6, 1951	West Point	Tune 99 1051		
Cortez	July —, 1950	ILLINOIS:	June 22, 1951		
Durango	July 1950	Chicago	Aug 1 1051		
Grand Junction	Mar 29 1950	Decatur	Anr 27 1050		
Pueblo	Aug. —, 1951	East Moline	May 18 1050		
FLORIDA:		Joliet	Tuly 14 1050		
St. Petersburg	Jan. 12, 1950	Moline	May 18 1050		
GEURGIA:	•	Peoria	Apr 15 1050		
Albany	May 18, 1951	Rock Island	Mey 10 1050		
Atlanta	Nov. 21, 1951	Silvis	May 18, 1950		

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1950–51—Continued

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

Community		Date of rating		Community		Date of rating		
INDIANA:			NORTH CAROLINA—Continued		r	•		
Bedford-Orleans	Oct.	, 1	951	Cumberland County	Feb.	10.	1950	
Bluffton	Dec.	14. 1	950	Durham County	June	8.	1950	
Calumet Region	June	— , 1	950	Forsyth County	Nov.	22.	1950	
East Chicago		•		High Point	Feb.	16,	1951	
Gary				Mitchell County	Aug.	10,	1951	
Hammond				New Hanover County	June	16,	1950	
Whiting				Randolph County				
Cooperative Grade A Milk Pro-				Richmond County	May	29,	1951	
gram	July	—, 1	951	Scotland County	May	31,	1951	
Boonville				Transylvania County	Jan.	16,	1950	
Holland				Wilson	Aug.	2,	1950	
Huntingburg				Yancey County	Aug.	10,	1951	
Jasper	~ .		^-1	OKLAHOMA:				
Evansville	Oct.	—, <u>i</u>	951	Ardmore	July	28,	1950	
Indianapolis	Aug.	, 1	951	Cushing	reb.	10,	1950	
Madison	Oct.	—, <u>1</u>	051 051	Duncan				
Marion and Gas City	Apr.	, ;	051	Guthrie				
Rushville	Aug.	_, 1	051	Sulphur	Aug.	29,	199(
Shelbyville	Aug.	一, I	051	SOUTH DAKOTA: Sioux Falls	Oct	10	1054	
South Bend Vincennes	May	1	051	TENNESSEE:	Oct.	12,	1991	
IOWA:	May	, 1	. 301	Athens	Tuna	1.4	1050	
Clinton	July	12 1	950	Bristol	Oat	10,	1051	
Des Moines	July		951	Clinton	Nov.	28,	1051	
KANSAS:	uary	, -	.001	Columbia	Anr	20,	1050	
Dodge City	Apr	11.1	951	Cookeville	Nov.	14	1051	
Erie	May	1.1	951	Covington				
Hillsboro				Dandridge				
Kansas City	Dec.	11, 1	950	Dyersburg	Ang	17	1950	
Pittsburg	Jan.	26, 1	951	Erwin				
KENTUCKY:		, -		Fayetteville	June	27.	1951	
Bowling Green and Warren County_	July	13, 1	950	Franklin	May	5.	1950	
Campbell County-Newport				Gallatin				
Christian County	Dec.	20, 1	951	Jefferson City				
Hopkinsville	Mar.	-, 1	950	Kingsport				
Mayfield and Graves County	May	11, 1	.950	Knoxville	Aug.	22,	1951	
Mount Sterling	Aug.	16, 1	950	Lawrenceburg	Aug.	21,	1950	
Murray	Apr.	19, 1	.950	Lebanon	July	19,	1950	
Owensboro				Lewisburg				
Paducah				Manchester			1950	
Paris	May	17, 1	951	Memphis	June	5,	1951	
LOUISIANA:	Gam4	Λ 1	051	Morristown	Sept.	25,	1951	
Vermilion Parish	sept.	9, 1	.991	Nashville and Davidson County				
MISSISSIPPI: Aberdeen	Oat	96 1	051	Newbern	Aug.	10,	1950	
Amory				Newport	Sept.	10,	1901	
Belmont	July	19 1	Q51	Paris				
Booneville	Sent	28 1	Q51	Pulaski Springfield	Mar	44, Q	1051	
Columbus	Ang	13 1	051	Sweetwater	Oat	10	1050	
Corinth	June	6 1	951	TEXAS:	Oct.	15,	1900	
Iuka				Bay City	Moss	1	1050	
Louisville	Oct	4 1	951	Brenham				
Okolona	May	29, 1	951	College Station	Sent	20,	1950	
Tupelo				Corpus Christi	Oct.	14	1950	
MISSOURI:	-	, -		Dallas	Apr.	26.	1951	
Cape Girardeau	Oct.	25, 1	950	Falfurrias	Jan.	12	1951	
Chilicothe	Oct.	8, 1	950	Gladewater				
Columbia				Harlingen	Aug.	4,	1951	
Concordia	June	7, 1	950	Houston	June	30,	1950	
Eldon				Jacksonville	Apr.	12,	1950	
Jackson				Kilgore	Jan.	19,	1951	
St. Joseph	June	14, 1	951	La Feria	Aug.	2,	1951	
NEVADA:	-		A = -	Lamesa	May	10,	1951	
Yerington	Dec.	5, 1	951	Lovelland				
NORTH CAROLINA:	T.,	00 -	051	Lufkin	Oct.	8,	1951	
Burke County	June	28, 1	991	Mercedes	Aug.	21,	1991	
Charlotte	rep.	∠ 5, 1	บดษ	Mission	Aug.	<i>2</i> 4,	1991	

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1950–51—Continued

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating
TEXAS—Continued Pharr. San Antonio San Benito San Juan. Sweetwater Texarkana. Texas City. Tyler Weslaco. Wichita Falls UTAH: Delta Minersville Ogden. VIRGINIA: Boydton Bristol	Aug. 1, 1951 Aug. 23, 1951 Apr. 19, 1950 Aug. 5, 1950 Jan. 16, 1951 Oct. 9, 1951 Aug. 24, 1951 Jan. 31, 1951 Nov. 17, 1950 Jan. 25, 1951 Dec. 11, 1951 Apr. 4, 1950	VIRGINIA—Continued Buena Vista Front Royal Lawrenceville Lexington Luray Pulaski Radford Richmond Roanoke Staunton Suffolk Waynesboro WASHINGTON: Everett Spokane Whitman County	Aug. 29, 195 Apr. 6, 195 Apr. 6, 195 Apr. 6, 195 Aug. 29, 195 June —, 1956 June —, 1956 Sept. 23, 1956 Nov. 3, 1956 May 24, 1956 Aug. 3, 1951 June 14, 1951 July 21, 1956

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1950-51

BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK

Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating
ALABAMA:		NORTH CAROLINA—Continued	•
Huntsville, 98	Aug. 10, 1951	King Mountain, 83.4	Nov. 16, 1951
Lanett, 97.5	Nov. 9, 1950	Macon County 91 4	Ang 10 1950
GEORGIA:		Montgomery County, 93.1 Orange County, 96.1 Wilkes County, 90.6	Mar. 22, 1951
Camilla, 78Cartersville, 94.2	May 30, 1951	Orange County, 96.1	May 11, 1950
Cartersville, 94.2	Feb. 15, 1951	Wilkes County, 90.6	Sept. 20, 1951
Dalton-Whitfield County, 83.3	Apr. 4, 1951	OKLAHOMA:	
Macon, 98.6 Thomaston, 79.7	June 15, 1951	Elk City, 95.5	July 12, 1950
Thomaston, 79.7	May 24, 1950	Holdenville, 89	Mar. 28, 1950
Thomasville, 99.4	May 29, 1951	Lawton, 96.2	Feb. 20, 1950
INDIANA:		Mangum, 93.8	June 29, 1950
Michigan City, 98.1	July —, 1951	Norman, 94.1	_ Sept. 22, 1950
OWA:		Ponca City, 93.1	Sept. 15, 1950
Davenport, 99	Jan. 27, 1950	SOUTH CAROLINA:	
KANSAS.		Spartanburg and Spartanburg Cou	n-
Neodesha, 85	Mar. 14, 1951	Spartanburg and Spartanburg Couty, 91.3	Oct. 31, 1951
KENTUCKY:		TENNESSEE:	
Lexington and Fayette County 96	у,	Cleveland, 94.4	. Sept. 7, 1950
96	June 23, 1950	Elizabethton, 94	. Aug. 8, 1950
do o i di i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		Harriman 90 6	Tuly 26 1051
Iberia Parish, 96	May 3, 1951	Jackson, 95.8 Johnson City, 96.6 Maryville-Alcoa, 99.2. McMinnyille, 95.1	_ Mar. 30, 1950
MISSISSIPPI:		Johnson City, 96.6	_ Aug. 9, 1950
West Point, 97.6	July 18, 1951	Maryville-Alcoa, 99.2	. Oct. 17, 1950
MISSOURI:		McMinnville, 95.1	_ May 25, 1950
Boonville, 87	Oct. 12, 1950	Murireesboro, 98.7	July 6, 1951
Jefferson City, 88.5 Springfield, 99	July 20, 1950	TEXAS:	
Springfield, 99	Nov. 10, 1950	Amarillo, 95	July 23, 1951
NORTH CAROLINA:		Austin, 97.3	_ Oct. 24, 1951
Alexander County, 73.5	Mar. 31, 1950	Beaumont, 99.4	. Oct. 20. 1950
Buncombe County, 95.8	June 15, 1951	Brenham, 94.9	July 26, 1951
Cabarrus County, 73.4	Jan. 20, 1950	Brownsville, 92.7	_ Aug. 1, 1951
Cabarrus County, 73.4Caldwell County, 88.7	. Oct. 29, 1951	Brvan. 98.8	Sept. 21, 1950
Greensboro, 99.7	July 27, 1950	Cleburne, 91.5 Corsicana, 99.7 Edinburg, 93.8	_ Nov. 17, 1950
Henderson County, 86	. Feb. 6, 1950	Corsicana, 99.7	_ July 9, 1951
Iredell County, 95.7	. Cct. 27, 1950	Edinburg, 93.8	_ Aug. 28, 1951

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1950-51—Con.

BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK

Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating
TEXAS—Continued Fort Worth, 99.95 Kerrville, 98.2 Laredo, 62 Longview, 99.4 Lubbock, 99.2 Marshall, 88 McAllen, 99	May 1, 1951 Aug 24 1950	TEXAS—Continued Paris, 92.3 Sherman, 93.3 VIRGINIA: Emporia, 34 Lynchburg, 98.2 WASHINGTON: Seattle-King County, 99.6	_ Nov. 6, 1951 _ Apr. 7, 1950 _ June 22, 1951

Note: In these communities the pasteurized market milk shows a 90-percent or more compliance with the grade A pasteurized milk requirements and the raw market milk shows a 90-percent or more compliance with the grade A raw milk requirements of the Public Health Service Milk Ordinance and Code.

Note particularly the percentage of milk pasteurized in the various communities listed. This percentage is an important factor to consider in estimating the safety of a city's milk supply. All milk should be pasteurized or boiled, either commercially or at home, before it is consumed.

Tuberculosis Control in India

A new training center with modern equipment for tuberculosis control and treatment is to be opened in New Delhi.

WHO is providing an international team, a bacteriologist, an epidemiologist, a laboratory and X-ray expert, and a public health nurse. A local team of these specialists is also being provided by the Tuberculosis Association of India.

A mobile unit provided by the United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund for mass radiography has been used to examine 16,000 of the 27,000 inhabitants of the town of Faridabad, and 4,000 staff members and students in the Delhi hospitals and other institutions.

Training in tuberculosis control will include lectures and practical work in the laboratory and clinic, and in the homes of the patients. The program will (1) determine the exact extent of the tuberculosis situation in urban and rural communities, (2) protect young people through BCG vaccination, and (3) continue and expand home treatment and supervision of patients unable to go to sanitoriums.

The center will be an extension of the existing clinic of the Tuberculosis Association of India in the Irwin Compound and under the direction of Dr. B. K. Sikand.

Another training center with international assistance is operating in Trivandrum. A third center is expected to open in Patna, the WHO regional office for South East Asia reports.